arXiv:1701.03184v1 [math.RT] 11 Jan 2017

ZIEGLER CLOSURES OF SOME UNSTABLE TUBES

LORNA GREGORY

Abstract. We describe the modules in the Ziegler closure of ray and coray tubes in module categories over finite-dimensional algebras. We improve slightly on Krause's result for stable tubes by showing that the inverse limit along a coray in a ray or coray tube is indecomposable, so in particular, the inverse limit along a coray in a stable tube is indecomposable. In order to do all this we first describe the finitely presented modules over and the Ziegler spectra of iterated one-point extensions of valuation domains. Finally we give a sufficient condition for the k-dual of a Σ-pure-injective module over a k-algebra to be indecomposable.

Ray and coray tubes frequently occur in Auslander-Reiten quivers of finite-dimensional algebras. After stable tubes (also referred to as smooth tubes) they are the simplest form of Ringel and D'Este's coherent tubes.

Generalising Krause's definition, [Kra98b, pg 20], of a generalised tube we introduce the notions of a generalised coray tube and a generalised ray tube. Our aim here is to use these notions to describe the Ziegler closures of unstable tubes containing no projective modules (dually, unstable tubes containing no injective modules).

As in the case of stable tubes, we show that every ray tube has finitely many non-finitely presented indecomposable pure-injectives in its Ziegler closure each of which is either a direct limit along a ray, an inverse limit along a coray or a generic module. Improving slightly on Krause's results we show that in any ray or coray tube (thus also in any stable tube) the inverse limit along a coray is indecomposable. This result was claimed in [Pre09, 15.1.10] but no proof is given and the proof indicated there does not work.

In section 2, we describe the finitely presented modules over iterated one-point extensions of discrete valuation domains. This allows us, see section 3, to describe the indecomposable pure-injectives over iterated one-point extensions of discrete valuation domains.

Using these results, we describe a functor from the module category of the iterated one-point extension of $k[[x]]$ to a module category over a finite-dimensional k-algebra containing a generalised ray tube such that every

Date: January 13, 2017.

The author acknowledges the support of EPSRC through Grant EP/K022490/1 and thanks Ivo Herzog and Mike Prest for useful discussions. This work was completed while the author was at the University of Manchester.

module in the closure of the generalised ray tube is a direct summand of some module in the image of this functor.

In section 5 we introduce short embeddings. These are embeddings $f : M \to L$ between finite-length modules over an artin algebra such that for all $a \in M$, if φ generates the pp-type of a in M and ψ generates the pp-type of $f(a)$ in L then the interval $[\psi, \varphi]$ in the pp-1-lattice is finite-length. Equivalently, an embedding is short if the cokernel of $(f, -) : (L, -) \to (M, -)$ in $(\mathrm{mod} - R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}$ is finite-length.

Short embeddings allow us to investigate the endomorphism rings of direct limits along rays in ray and coray tubes. Using results in 5, we are able to show that direct limits along rays in ray and coray tubes are indecomposable and moreover that the canonical embedding of k into their endomorphism rings factored out by the radical is an isomorphism. In section 7 we will show that this implies that their k-duals are indecomposable.

In the final section we put all this together to describe the Ziegler closures of ray and coray tubes for finite-dimensional algebras. We end by discussing some open questions.

Throughout this paper we switch freely between the more concrete pp-formula formalism and the more abstract functor category formalism.

Through out this paper, if R is a ring then $\mathrm{Mod}-R$ (respectively $R-\mathrm{Mod}$) denotes the category of right R-modules (respectively left R-modules) and $\mathrm{mod} - R$ (respectively $R - \mathrm{mod}$) denotes that category of finitely presented right R-modules (respectively left R-modules).

1. Preliminaries

Let R be a ring.

A **pp-n-formula** is a formula in the language $\mathcal{L}_R = (0, +, (\cdot r)_{r \in R})$ of (right) R-modules of the form

$$\exists \overline{y}(\overline{x}, \overline{y})H = 0$$

where $\overline{x}$ is a n-tuple of variables and H is an appropriately sized matrix with entries in R. If φ is a pp-formula and M is a right R-module then $\varphi(M)$ denotes the set of all elements $\overline{m} \in M^n$ such that $\varphi(\overline{m})$ holds. Note that for any module M, $\varphi(M)$ is a subgroup of M^n equipped with the addition induced by addition in M. A pair of pp-n-formulas φ/ψ is called a **pp-pair** if for all R-modules M, $\varphi(M) \supseteq \psi(M)$.

If we weaken our definition of a pp-formula to include all formulas (in one variable) in the language of (right) R-modules, $\mathcal{L}_R$, which are equivalent over the theory of R-modules, T_R, to a pp-formula then the T_R-equivalence classes of pp-n-formulas become a lattice under implication with the join of two formulas φ, ψ given by

$$(\varphi + \psi)(x) := \exists y, z(x = y + z \wedge \varphi(y) \wedge \psi(z))$$

and the meet given by $\varphi \wedge \psi$. Given a (right) R-module M, we write $\mathrm{pp}^1_R(M)$ for the lattice of pp-definable subgroups of M.

Let M be an R-modules and $\overline{m} \in M^n$. The **pp-type** of $\overline{m}$ in M, denoted $\mathrm{pp}_R^M(\overline{m})$, is the set all pp-$n$-formulas φ such that $m \in \varphi(M)$.

If M is finitely presented module and $\overline{m} \in M^n$ then there is a pp-n-formula φ which **generates the pp-type** of $\overline{m}$ in M, that is, for all pp-formulas ψ, $\psi \geq \varphi$ if and only if $\overline{m} \in \psi(M)$. Conversely, if φ is a pp-n-formula, then there exists a finitely presented module M and $\overline{m} \in M^n$ such that φ generates the pp-type of $\overline{m}$ in M. See [Pre09, Section 1.2.2] for proofs.

Any pp-pair φ/ψ, gives rise to a finitely presented functor $F_{\varphi/\psi} : \mathrm{mod} - R \to \mathrm{Ab}$ which sends a right R-module M to $\varphi(M)/\psi(M)$ and conversely, any finitely presented functor is isomorphic to one of the form $F_{\varphi/\psi}$, [Pre09, 10.2.30].

Given a lattice L, let $\sim$ be the congruence relation generated by the simple intervals in L. We define what it means for a lattice to have finite m-dimension. For the more general ordinal valued dimension, see [Pre09, section 7.2]. We say that L has **m-dimension** 0 if $L/\sim$ is the one point lattice. We say that L has **m-dimension** $n+1$ if $L/\sim$ has m-dimension L.

We say that a module has m-dimension n if its lattice of pp-definable subgroups has m-dimension n.

An embedding of R-modules $f : M \to N$ is **pure** if for every pp-1-formula φ, $f(\varphi(M)) = \varphi(N) \cap f(M)$. A right R-module M is **pure-injective** if it is injective over all pure-embeddings.

In section 7, we will use that a module is pure-injective if and only if it is algebraically compact [Pre09, 4.3.11]. An R-module M is said to be **algebraically compact** if every system of equations over R in arbitrary many variables with parameters in M such that every finite subsystem has a solution in M, has a solution in M. Equivalently, a module is algebraically compact if every collection of cosets of pp-definable subgroups which has the finite intersection property has non-empty intersection.

We say that a module is **Σ-pure-injective** if it has no descending chain of pp-definable subgroups. Every Σ-pure-injective module is pure-injective.

The (right) **Ziegler spectrum** of a ring R is a topological space with set of points, pinj_R, the isomorphism classes of indecomposable pure-injectives and basis of open sets given by

$$(\varphi/\psi) := \{N \in \mathrm{pinj}_R \mid \varphi(N) \neq \psi(N)\}$$

where φ/ψ is a pp-pair.

Our descriptions of Ziegler closures and Ziegler spectras in this paper are all based on the Ziegler spectrum of a discrete valuation domain V.

The indecomposable pure-injectives over V are the indecomposable finite-length modules $V/\mathfrak{m}^l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the Prüfer module $E(V/\mathfrak{m})$ (that is, the injective hull of $V/\mathfrak{m}$), the completion $\widehat{V}$ of V and $Q(V)$ the field of fractions of V. See for instance [Zie84, 5.1].

A subset X of Zg_V is closed if the following two properties hold:

(1) If X contains infinitely many finite-length modules then X contains $E(V/\mathfrak{m})$, $\widehat{V}$ and $Q(V)$.
(2) If X contains $\widehat{V}$ or $E(V/\mathfrak{m})$ then X contains $Q(V)$.

This description of the topology can be extracted from [Pre09, section 5.2.1].

A definable subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}-R$ is a full subcategory closed under direct limits, products and pure-submodules. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a definable subcategory then there exists pp-pairs $\varphi_\lambda/\psi_\lambda$, $\lambda \in I$ such that $M \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\varphi_\lambda(M) = \psi_\lambda(M)$ for all $\lambda \in I$ and conversely, all subcategories of this form are definable subcategories.

A definable subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}-R$ is determined by the indecomposable pure-injective it contains [Pre09, 5.1.4]. Thus there is a bijective correspondence between closed subset of Zg_R and definable subcategories of $\mathrm{Mod}-R$.

Definition 1.1. *Let φ be a pp-n-formula in the language of right R-modules of the form $\exists \bar{y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})H = 0$ where $\bar{x}$ is a tuple of n variables, $\bar{y}$ is a tuple of l variables, $H = (H'\ H'')^T$ and H' (respectively H'') is a $n \times m$ (respectively $l \times m$) matrix with entries in R. Then $\mathrm{D}\varphi$ is the pp-n-formula in the language of left R-modules $\exists \bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} I & H' \\ 0 & H'' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = 0$.*

Similarly, let φ be a pp-n-formula in the language of left R-modules of the form $\exists \bar{y} H \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \end{pmatrix} = 0$ where $\bar{x}$ is a tuple of n variables, $\bar{y}$ is a tuple of l variables, $H = (H'\ H'')$ and H' (respectively H'') is a $m \times n$ (respectively $m \times l$) matrix with entries in R. Then $\mathrm{D}\varphi$ is the pp-n-formula in the language of right R-modules $\exists \bar{z}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ H' & H'' \end{pmatrix} = 0$.

Note that the pp-formula $a|x$ for $a \in R$ is mapped by D to a formula equivalent with respect to T_R to $xa = 0$ and the pp-formula $xa = 0$ for $a \in R$ is mapped by D to a formula equivalent with respect to T_R to $a|x$.

Theorem 1.2. [Pre88, Chapter 8][Pre09, 1.3.1] *The map $\varphi \to D\varphi$ induces an anti-isomorphism between the lattice of right pp-n-formulae and the lattice of left pp-n-formulae. In particular, if φ, ψ are pp-n-formulae then $D(\varphi + \psi)$ is equivalent to $D\varphi \wedge D\psi$ and $D(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ is equivalent to $D\varphi + D\psi$.*

Let R be a k-algebra. We denote that standard dual $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k)$ of an R-module M, respectively a morphism f, by M^*, respectively f^*.

Lemma 1.3. *Let R be a k-algebra and M an R-module. If $\varphi(M) \leq \psi(M)$ then $D\psi(M^*) \leq D\varphi(M^*)$.*

Thus if M is an R-module with dcc (respectively acc) on pp-definable subgroups then M^* has acc (respectively dcc) on pp-definable subgroups. So, in particular, if the pp-1-lattice of M is finite-length then so is the pp-1-lattice of M^*.

Another key tool in this paper is the use of additive functors $F : \text{Mod} - R \to \text{Mod} - S$ which commute with direct limits and arbitrary products. Such functors are called **interpretation functors**. These are exactly the functors which are finitely presented when composed with the forgetful functor to Ab and thus given by pp-pairs. This is where there name comes from [Pre97]. See [Kra98a, 7.2] and [Pre11, 12.9] for the equivalence.

We will need the following facts about interpretation functors $F : \text{Mod} - R \to \text{Mod} - S$.

(1) There exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $M \in \text{Mod} - R$, there is a lattice embedding $\text{pp}^1_S(FM) \hookrightarrow \text{pp}^n_R(M)$.
(2) If M is pure-injective then FM is pure-injective. See [Pre97, 3.16] or [Kra98a, 6.1].
(3) If M is Σ-pure-injective (respectively has the acc on pp-definable subgroups) then FM is Σ-pure-injective (respectively has the acc on pp-definable subgroups). Follows from (1).
(4) If $\text{pp}^1_R(M)$ has m-dimension α then $\text{pp}^1_S(FM)$ has m-dimension less than or equal to α. Follows from (1) plus the fact that the m-dimension of $\text{pp}^1_R(M)$ is equal to the m-dimension of $\text{pp}^n_R(M)$.
(5) If $\mathcal{D}$ is a definable subcategory of $\text{Mod} - R$ then after closing under direct summands and isomorphism, $F\mathcal{D}$ is a definable subcategory. See [Pre12, 3.8].
(6) If the m-dimension of pp^1_R is α then the m-dimension of the smallest definable subcategory containing the image of F is less that or equal to α.

2. Iterated one-point extensions of discrete valuation domains

Let R be a ring, k a field and L a $k-R$-bimodule. The one-point extension of R by L is the ring

$$R[L] := \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ L & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Right modules over $R[L]$ may be viewed as triples (M_0, M_1, Γ_M) where M_0 is a k-vector space, M_1 is a right R-module and $\Gamma_M : M_0 \to \text{Hom}_R(L, M_1)$ is a k-homomorphism. A morphism between two triples (N_0, N_1, Γ_N) and (M_0, M_1, Γ_M) is given by a pair (f_0, f_1) where $f_0 : N_0 \to M_0$ is a k-vector space homomorphism, $f_1 : N_1 \to M_1$ is an R-module homomorphism and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_0 & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_N} & \text{Hom}_R(L, N_1) \\ {\scriptstyle f_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{Hom}(L, f_1)} \\ M_0 & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_M} & \text{Hom}_R(L, M_1) \end{array} .$$

There are two full and faithful embeddings of $\text{Mod} - R$ into $\text{Mod} - R[L]$:

$$F_0 : \text{Mod} - R \to \text{Mod} - R[L] \quad M \mapsto (0, M, 0)$$

and

$$F_1 : \mathrm{Mod} - R \to \mathrm{Mod} - R[L] \quad M \mapsto (\mathrm{Hom}(L, M), M, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Hom}(L,M)}).$$

The forgetful functor

$$r : \mathrm{Mod} - R[L] \to R \quad (M_0, M_1, \Gamma) \mapsto M_1$$

is right adjoint to F_0 and left adjoint to F_1.

Each of these additive functors commute with direct limits and arbitrary products and thus are interpretation functors.

Throughout the rest of this section, let V be a discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and let $R_0 := V$, $L_0 = V/\mathfrak{m} =: k$,

$$R_{n+1} := \begin{pmatrix} R_n & 0 \\ L_n & k \end{pmatrix}$$

and $L_{n+1} = F_1 L_n$.

Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n := (k, 0, 0) \in \mathrm{Mod} - R_n$.

The category of finitely presented modules over a discrete valuation domain V is Krull-Schmidt and the indecomposable finitely presented modules are V and $V/\mathfrak{m}^n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our goal for the rest of this section is to prove the following theorem and thus classify the finitely presented right R_n-modules.

Theorem 2.1. *The category of finitely presented right modules over R_n is Krull-Schmidt. The indecomposable finitely presented modules over R_n are of the form T_n, $F_0^m F_1^{n-m} N$ where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and N is an indecomposable finitely presented module over V and $F_0^{n-k-l} F_1^l T_k$ where $k + l \leq n$ and $0 \leq k, l$.*

Lemma 2.2. *If $M = (M_0, M_1, \Gamma)$ is finitely presented then M_1 is finitely presented and M_0 is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Suppose $R_{n+1}^l \xrightarrow{f} R_{n+1}^m \to M \to 0$ is a presentation for M. Applying the exact functor r we get that $rR_{n+1}^l \xrightarrow{rf} rR_{n+1}^m \to M_1 \to 0$ is a presentation for M_1. Since rR_{n+1} is finitely presented, M_1 is finitely presented.

As a module over itself R_{n+1} is $(k, R_n \oplus L_n, \Gamma)$ where $\Gamma : k \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, R_n \oplus L_n)$ take $1 \in k$ to the homomorphism which sends $l \in L_n$ to $(0, l) \in R_n \oplus L_n$. Thus $k^l \xrightarrow{f_0} k^m \to M_0 \to 0$ is exact and M_0 is finite-dimensional.

□

In order to prove 2.1, we prove the following two conditions by induction. Note that 2.1 follows from B_n by induction on n.

A_n: If $M \in \mathrm{mod} - R_n$, M_0 a finite-dimensional k-vector space and $\Gamma : M_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, M)$ is an injective k-vector space homomorphism then there is a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for M_0 and orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms e_i of M such that $e_i \Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and $e_i M$ is indecomposable.

B_n: All finitely presented modules over R_n are direct sums of modules of the form F_1M, F_0M and T_n where M is a finitely presented indecomposable module over R_{n-1} and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, N) \leq 1$ for all indecomposable finitely presented modules N over R_n.

Lemma 2.3. *If $K \in \mathrm{Mod}{-}R_n$ then $\mathrm{Hom}(F_1L_n, F_0K) = 0$. Hence $F_1F_0K \cong F_0F_0K$.*

Proof. Suppose (f_0, f_1) is a homomorphism from F_1L_n to F_0K. Then $f_0 = 0$ and hence $f_1 \circ 1_{L_n} = 0$. So $f_1 = 0$. □

Remark 2.4. *Suppose $M \in mod - R_n$, M_0 a finite-dimensional k-vector space, $\Gamma : M_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, M)$ is an injective k-vector space homomorphism and α is an automorphism of M. There is a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for M_0 and orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms e_i of M such that $e_i\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and e_iM is indecomposable if and only if there is a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for M_0 and orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms e_i of M such that $e_i\alpha\Gamma(v_i) = \alpha\Gamma(v_i)$ and e_iM is indecomposable.*

Lemma 2.5. *If A_n holds then all finitely presented right R_{n+1}-modules are direct sums of modules of the form $T_{n+1} := (k, 0, 0)$, $(0, M_1, 0)$ and (k, M_1, Γ) where M_1 is a finitely presented indecomposable right R_n-module and Γ is an injective k-vector space homomorphism.*

Proof. Let (M_0, M_1, Γ) be an R_{n+1}-module. If (M_0, M_1, Γ) is finitely presented then M_1 is finitely presented and M_0 is finite-dimensional by 2.2. If Γ is not injective then

$$(M_0, M_1, \Gamma) \cong (\ker \Gamma, 0, 0) \oplus (M_0/\ker \Gamma, M_1, \Gamma).$$

So, without loss of generality, we may assume Γ is injective. By A_n there exists a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for M_0 and orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of M such that $e_i\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $t_i : M_0 \to M_0$ be a k-linear map such that $t_i(v_i) = v_i$ and $t_i(v_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. So $(t_1, e_1), \ldots, (t_n, e_n)$ are orthogonal idempotents for (M_0, M_1, Γ). Thus

$$(M_0, M_1, \Gamma) = (0, (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i)M_1, 0) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (v_ik, e_iM_1, \Gamma|_{v_ik})$$

as required. □

Lemma 2.6. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, L_n) = 1$.*

Proof. Since $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_0, L_0) = 1$ and F_1 is full and faithful, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, L_n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let M_0 be a k-vector space, $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ a basis for M_0 and $\Gamma : M_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, T_n^l)$ be an injective k-vector space homomorphism. There exist $e_1, \ldots e_m$ orthogonal idempotent endomorphism of T_n^l such that $e_i\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and $e_iT_n^l$ is indecomposable.*

Proof. Let $(\alpha_1, 0) = \Gamma(v_1), \ldots, (\alpha_m, 0) = \Gamma(v_m)$ where each α_i is a k-linear map from $\mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, L_{n-1})$ to k^l. Since Γ is injective and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, L_{n-1}) = 1$, it follows that $l \geq m$ and $\alpha_1(Id_{L_{n-1}}), \ldots, \alpha_m(Id_{L_{n-1}}) \in k^l$ are linearly independent. Let $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m$ be the idempotent endomorphisms of k^l such that $\epsilon_i \alpha_i(Id_{L_{n-1}}) = \alpha_i(Id_{L_{n-1}})$ and $\dim \mathrm{im} \epsilon_i = 1$. Let $e_1 = (\epsilon_1, 0), \ldots, e_m = (\epsilon_m, 0)$. By definition, $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ have the required properties. □

Proposition 2.8. *For all $n \geq 1$, A_{n-1} and B_n imply A_n.*

Proof. Let M_1 be a finitely presented module over R_n, M_0 a k-vector space and $\Gamma : M_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, M_1)$ injective. By B_n, $M_1 = F_1 N \oplus F_0 K \oplus T_n^l$ where $K, N \in \mathrm{mod} - R_{n-1}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

By 2.3, $\mathrm{Hom}(F_1 L_{n-1}, F_0 K) = 0$, so we may as well assume $K = 0$.

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a basis for M_0 such that

$$\Gamma(v_1) = (f_1, w_1), \ldots, \Gamma(v_m) = (f_m, w_m)$$

and

$$\Gamma(v_{m+1}) = (0, w_{m+1}), \ldots, \Gamma(v_n) = (0, w_n)$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1 N)$ are linearly independent over k and $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, T_n^l)$.

Since F_1 is full, there exist $f_1^*, \ldots, f_m^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, N)$ such that $F_1 f_1^* = f_1, \ldots, F_1 f_m^* = f_m$.

Let $w_1^*, \ldots, w_n^*$ be such that $w_i = (t_i, 0)$ and $w_i^* = t_i(Id_{L_{n-1}})$. Note that since $\mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, L_{n-1})$ is 1-dimensional, t_i and hence w_i is determined by $w_i^* = t_i(Id_{L_{n-1}})$.

Here is a diagram for $\Gamma(v_i)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, L_{n-1}) \arrow[r, "Id"] \arrow[d, "g \mapsto (f_i^* \circ g, t_i(g))"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, L_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "g \mapsto f_i^* \circ g"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, N) \oplus k^l \arrow[r, "(\mathrm{Id}, 0)"] & \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, N)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) : F_1 N \oplus T_n^l \to F_1 N \oplus T_n^l$ be such that $\alpha_0 \circ (f_i^*, 0) = (f_i^*, -w_i^*)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $\alpha_0 \circ (0, w_i^*) = (0, w_i^*)$ for $i = m+1 \ldots, n$ and $\alpha_1 = \mathrm{Id}_N$.

So $\alpha \circ \Gamma(v_1) = (f_1, 0), \ldots \alpha \circ \Gamma(v_m) = (f_m, 0)$ and $\alpha \circ \Gamma(v_{m+1}) = (0, w_{m+1}), \ldots, \alpha \circ \Gamma(v_n) = (0, w_n)$. By 2.4, we may replace Γ by $\alpha \circ \Gamma$.

Let M_0' be the span of $v_1, \ldots, v_m$. By definition of M_0', if $u \in M_0'$ then $\Gamma(u) = (\Gamma_0(u), 0) \in \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1 N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, T_n^l) = \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1 N \oplus T_n^l)$. Let $\Delta : M_0' \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, N)$ be defined by setting $F_1 \Delta(u) = \Gamma_0(u)$. By A_{n-1}, there exists $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms of N such that $e_i \Delta(v_i) = \Delta(v_i)$ and $e_i N$ is indecomposable for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus $F_1(e_i)\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and $F_1(e_i) F_1(N) = F_1(e_i N)$ which is indecomposable.

Let M_0'' be the span of $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$. By 2.7, there exist $e_{m+1}, \dots e_n$ orthogonal idempotent endomorphism of T_n^l such that $e_i\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and $e_iT_n^l$ is indecomposable.

So $\sigma_1 = (e_1, 0), \dots, \sigma_m = (e_m, 0)$ and $\sigma_{m+1} = (0, e_{m+1}), \dots, \sigma_n = (0, e_n)$ are orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms of $F_1N \oplus T_n^l$ such that $\sigma_i\Gamma(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i)$ and $\sigma_i(F_1N \oplus T_n^l)$ is indecomposable. □

Proposition 2.9. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, B_n and A_n imply B_{n+1}.*

Proof. By 2.5, A_n implies that each finitely presented module over R_{n+1} is a direct sum of modules of the form $T_{n+1}, (k, M_1, \Gamma)$ and $F_1M_1 = (0, M_1, 0)$ where Γ is injective and M_1 is an indecomposable R_n-module. So in order to show that the first clause of B_{n+1} is true, we need now consider modules of the form (k, M_1, Γ). By B_n, M_1 is either F_1N, F_0K or T_n where N, K are indecomposable R_{n-1}-modules.

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_0K) = 0$, if $M_1 = F_0K$ then Γ is not injective.

If $M_1 = T_n$ then (k, T_n, Γ) is isomorphic to F_1T_n since $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, T_n) = 1$ i.e. $(\Gamma, \mathrm{Id}_{T_n}) : (k, T_n, \Gamma) \to F_1T_n$ is an isomorphism.

Now suppose that $M_1 = F_1N$ for N an indecomposable R_{n-1}-module. Since $\Gamma : k \to \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1N)$ is injective, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1N) \neq 0$. By B_{n-1}, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n-1}, N) \leq 1$. So $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, F_1N) = 1$. Thus $(\Gamma, \mathrm{Id}_N) : (k, N, \Gamma) \to F_1N$ is an isomorphism.

It now remains to show that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n+1}, M) \leq 1$ for all indecomposable $M \in \mathrm{mod} - R_{n+1}$. If $M = F_0K$ then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n+1}, M) = 0$. If $M = F_1N$ then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n+1}, M) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_n, N) \leq 1$ by B_n. If $M = T_{n+1}$ then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(L_{n+1}, M) \leq 1$ follows from 2.6 and the definition of T_{n+1}.

□

We now consider the base cases, A_0 and B_1.

Lemma 2.10. *Let V be a discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, M a finitely presented V-module and $M_0 \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(V/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ a $V/\mathfrak{m}$-vector subspace. There exists $v_1, \dots, v_n$ a basis for M_0 as a $V/\mathfrak{m}$-vector space and orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms of M such that e_iM is indecomposable and $e_iv_i = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Since V is a principal ideal domain, $M = M' \oplus V^m$ where M' is a torsion module. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(V/\mathfrak{m}, V) = 0$, we may replace M by M'. Let $W = \{f(a) \in M \mid a \in V/\mathfrak{m} \text{ and } f \in M_0\}$. Note that W is a submodule of M. Let $p \in V$ generate $\mathfrak{m}$ and let $L = \{m \in M \mid 0 \neq mp^l \in W \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Since V is an RD-ring (see [Pre09, section 2.4.2]), L is pure in M. Since M and hence L is finite-length, L is pure-injective. Therefore L is a direct summand of M.

The lemma now follows from the structure theorem for finitely generated module over principle ideal domains.

□

Lemma 2.11. *B_1 holds.*

Proof. We need to show that all finitely presented modules over R_1 are direct sums of modules of the form $F_0M := (0, M, 0)$, F_1M and $T_1 := (k, 0, 0)$ where M is a finitely presented indecomposable module over V. Let (M_0, M, Γ) be an arbitrary finitely presented module over R_1. As usual, we may assume Γ is injective. Letting $e_1, ..., e_n$ be as in 2.10, we have that

$$(M_0, M, \Gamma) \cong (0, (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i)M, 0) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (v_i k, e_i M, \Gamma|_{v_i k}).$$

The module $(0, (1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i)M, 0)$ is equal to $F_0(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i)M$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $(v_i k, e_i M, \Gamma|_{v_i k})$ is isomorphic to $F_1 e_i M$.

That $\mathrm{Hom}(L_1, N)$ is 1-dimensional is proved exactly as in the proof of 2.9. □

Corollary 2.12. *For all $n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, A_n and B_m hold.*

Corollary 2.13. *All indecomposable finitely presented modules over R_n have local endomorphism rings.*

3. Indecomposable pure-injectives and the Ziegler spectrum

Throughout this section, let V be a valuation domain with maximal idea $\mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 3.1. *All finitely presented indecomposable right R_{n+1}-modules are pure-injective except for $F_0^{n+1}V$. The module $F_0^{n+1}V$ is pure-injective if and only if V is pure-injective as a right module over itself.*

Proof. This follows directly from 2.1, the fact that the functors F_0 and F_1 preserve pure-injectivity and that $(k, 0, 0)$ is finite-length and hence pure-injective. □

Proposition 3.2. *Every indecomposable pure-injective module over R_{n+1} is of the form F_0N, F_1N or $T_n := (k, 0, 0)$ for some indecomposable pure-injective R_n-module N.*

Proof. Since all finitely presented indecomposable modules over R_{n+1} are pure-injective except $F_0^{n+1}V$, the set of finitely presented pure-injective modules together with $F_0^{n+1}\widehat{V}$ is dense in $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$. Since F_0 and F_1 commute with direct limits and products, the images of F_0 and F_1 are definable subcategories of $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$ after closing under direct summands (see [Pre12, 3.8]). Thus $\mathrm{Add}(\mathrm{im}F_0) \cap \mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}} =: \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathrm{Add}(\mathrm{im}F_1) \cap \mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}} =: \mathcal{C}_1$ are closed subsets of $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$. The point $(k, 0, 0)$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \{(k, 0, 0)\}$ is a dense closed subset of $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$ it is all of $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}}$. Thus all indecomposable pure-injecitve R_{n+1}-modules are of the required form. □

Theorem 3.3. *The indecomposable pure-injective modules over R_n are*

(i) $F_0^p F_1^l N$ *where* $N = V/\mathfrak{m}^m$ *or* $N = E(V/\mathfrak{m})$ *and* $p + l = n$
(ii) $F_0^n N$ *where* $N = \widehat{V}$ *or* $N = Q(V)$
(iii) $F_0^p F_1^l T_m$ *where* $p + l + m = n$

There is no redundancy in this list.

Further, the modules in (i), (iii) and $F_0^n Q(V)$ *are* Σ*-pure-injective,* $F_0^n Q(V)$ *is finite-length over its endomorphism ring and* $F_0^n \widehat{V}$ *has acc on pp-definable subsets.*

Proof. By 3.2 and the fact that $F_1 F_0 \cong F_0^2$, the indecomposable pure-injectives over R_n are

(i) $F_0^p F_1^l N$ where $N = V/\mathfrak{m}^m$, $N = E(V/\mathfrak{m})$, $N = \widehat{V}$ or $N = Q(V)$ and $p + l = n$
(ii) $F_0^p F_1^l T_m$ where $p + l + m = n$.

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(V/\mathfrak{m}, \widehat{V}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(V/\mathfrak{m}, Q(V)) = 0$, $F_1\widehat{V} \cong F_0\widehat{V}$ and $F_1 Q(V) \cong F_0 Q(V)$. So by 2.3, $F_0^p F_1^l \widehat{V} \cong F_0^n \widehat{V}$ and $F_0^p F_1^l Q(V) \cong F_0^n Q(V)$ when $p + l = n$.

It remains to show that there is no redundancy in the list of pure-injectives given in the statement of the theorem. By applying the functor r n-times, we see that if N, M are non-isomorphic then $F_0^p F_1^{n-p} N$ is not isomorphic to $F_0^l F_1^{n-l} M$. If we apply the functor r n-times to a module in (*iii*) then we get the zero module. Thus the 3 points of the list are pairwise disjoint and $F_0^n \widehat{V}$ is not isomorphic to $F_0^n Q(V)$.

Now suppose that $F_0^p F_1^{n-p} N \cong F_0^l F_1^{n-l} N$ and $p < l$. Then $F_1^{n-p} N \cong F_0^{l-p} F_1^{n-l} N$. In order to show that these two modules are not isomorphic, it is enough to show that for N from (*i*) and $m \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Hom}(L_{m-1}, F_1^{m-1} N) \neq 0$. This is true since F_1 is full and faithful and $\mathrm{Hom}(L_0, N) \neq 0$.

We leave showing that there is no redundancy in (*iii*) to the reader. □

Remark 3.4. *If* N *is an indecomposable pure-injective over* R_n *then its lattice of pp-definable subgroups has m-dimension 1.*

Remark 3.5. *The functors* F_0 *and* F_1 *induce homeomorphisms from* Zg_{R_n} *to* $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}} \cap \mathrm{im} F_0$ *and* $\mathrm{Zg}_{R_{n+1}} \cap \mathrm{im} F_1$ *respectively. Since both functors are full and faithful, this follows from* [Kra98b, 6.1].

Remark 3.6. *A set* X *is closed if* $X \cap \mathrm{im} F_0$ *and* $X \cap \mathrm{im} F_1$ *is closed. Thus we can understand the Ziegler topology inductively. In particular* $F_0^n Q$ *is a closed point and* $\{N, F_0^n Q\}$ *is the closure of* N *for any non-finite-length indecomposable pure-injective* N*.*

Remark 3.7. *The m-dimension of* $\mathrm{pp}^1_{R_n}$ *is* 2*. The functor* $F_1 \oplus F_0 : \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_n \to \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_{n+1}$ *is such that* $\langle (F_1 \oplus F_0)\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_n, (k, 0, 0)\rangle = \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_{n+1}$*. Any pp-pair which is finite-length with respect to* $\langle F_1 \oplus F_0 \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_n\rangle$ *is finite-length with respect to* $\langle (F_1 \oplus F_0)\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R_n, (k, 0, 0)\rangle$*. Thus, the m-dimension of* $\mathrm{pp}^1_{R_n}$ *is equal to the m-dimension of* $\mathrm{pp}^1_{R_{n+1}}$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*.*

4. Ziegler closures of generalised ray tubes

Throughout this section we will take $R_0 := k[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a finite-dimensional k-algebra.

Following [Kra98b], a generalised (stable) tube is a sequence of tuples $\mathcal{T} := (M_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ where each M_i is an $\mathcal{A}$-module and $\varphi_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i$ and $\psi_i : M_i \to M_{i+1}$ are $\mathcal{A}$-homomorphisms such that $M_0 = 0$ and for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i-1}} & M_{i-1} \\ {\scriptstyle\psi_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_{i-1}} \\ M_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & M_i \end{array}$$

is a pull back and a push out.

A generalised ray tube $((M_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}, (P^i, \alpha_i)_{i=1}^n)$ is a generalised tube $\mathcal{T} = (M_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ together with a finite sequence of $\mathcal{A}$-modules $P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^n$ and embeddings $\alpha^1 : M_1 \to P^1$, $\alpha^2 : P^1 \to P^2$,$\ldots$,$\alpha^n : P^{n-1} \to P^n$.

To each generalised ray tube we attach a set

$$\{P^i_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } j \geq 1\} \cup \{M_i \mid i \geq 1\}$$

of finitely presented modules, by induction, which we will say are **in the tube**.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $P^i_1 = P^i$ and $\alpha^i_1 = \alpha^i$. For each $j \geq 1$, let P^1_j, α^1_j and $\overline{\psi^1_j}$ be such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_j & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} & M_{j+1} \\ {\scriptstyle\alpha^1_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha^1_{j+1}} \\ P^1_j & \xrightarrow[\overline{\psi^1_j}]{} & P^1_{j+1} \end{array}$$

is a pushout.

Since α^1 and ψ^1 are embeddings, the pushout with $j = 1$ is also a pullback. Moreover, α^1_2 and $\overline{\psi^1_1}$ are embeddings. By induction, it follows that for all $j \geq 1$, the above pushout is also a pullback and that α^1_{j+1} and $\overline{\psi^1_j}$ are embeddings.

For each $1 < i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j$ let P^i_j, α^i_j and $\overline{\psi^j_i}$ be such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{i-1}_j & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi^{i-1}_j}} & P^{i-1}_{j+1} \\ {\scriptstyle\alpha^i_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha^i_{j+1}} \\ P^i_j & \xrightarrow[\overline{\psi^i_j}]{} & P^i_{j+1} \end{array}$$

is a pushout.

Note that the above pushouts are also pullbacks, and the morphisms α^i_j and $\overline{\psi^i_j}$ are embeddings.



From the below lemma it follows that the cokernels of ψ_1, $\overline{\psi^1_1}$,...,$\overline{\psi^n_1}$ are isomorphic and thus all isomorphic to M_1. As in the diagram above we can complete the picture by letting f_1 be a cokernel of $\overline{\psi^n_1}$. It follows that $f_1 \circ \alpha^n_2 \circ \cdots \circ \alpha^2_1$ is the cokernel of ψ_1. Since φ_2 is also a cokernel of ψ_1, by postcomposing f_1 with an isomorphism, we may assume that $f_1 \circ \alpha^n_2 \circ \cdots \circ \alpha^1_2 = \varphi_2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that the following diagram occurs in an abelian category and that the left hand square in the following diagram is both a pushout and a pullback. The induced morphism ϵ : coker $\alpha \to$ coker β is an isomorphism.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \longrightarrow & \mathit{coker}\ \alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\epsilon} & & \\ D & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E & \longrightarrow & \mathit{coker}\ \beta & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. Since the left hand square is a pullback, [Bor94, 10.1.2] implies that ϵ is a monomorphism. That ϵ is surjective follows from the fact that E is a pushout and thus $\mathrm{im}\beta + \mathrm{im}\delta = E$. □

Consider the following pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^n_2 & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi^n_2}} & P^n_3 \\ {\scriptstyle f_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & N \end{array}$$

By putting pushouts side by side, we get that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & M_3 \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2\circ\alpha^n_3\circ\ldots\circ\alpha^1_3} \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & N \end{array}$$

is also a pushout.

Thus N is isomorphic to M_2 and by postcomposing by an isomorphism, we may assume $g_1 = \psi_1$ and $f_2 \circ \alpha_3^n \circ \ldots \circ \alpha_3^1 = \varphi_3$. Continuing in this way we can complete the whole picture as in the diagram.

As in [Kra98b], let $\widehat{M}$ be the inverse limit of

$$M_1 \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} M_3 \xleftarrow{\varphi_3} \ldots$$

and let $u_1 : \widehat{M} \to M_1$ be the induced morphism to M_1.

Our main task in this section is to equip $\hat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$ with the structure of a projective left R_n-module.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define $K_n \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P^i, P^{n+1})$ inductively by setting $K_0 = k\beta_1$ where $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 u_1$ and K_{n+1} to be the subset of elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} P^i, P^{n+2})$ of the form

$$(\alpha_{n+2} f, \lambda\alpha_{n+2}) : \begin{pmatrix} m \\ p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \alpha_{n+2} \circ f(m) + \lambda\alpha_{n+2}(p_{n+1})$$

where $f \in K_n$ and $\lambda \in k$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define $i_n : R_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i)$ and $\Delta_n : L_n \to K_n$ an isomorphism of R_n-modules when K_n is viewed as a right R_n-module via i_n. Let $i_0 : R_0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\widehat{M})$ be as in [Kra98b] and $\Delta_0 : L_0 \to K_0$ be defined by $\Delta_0 : \lambda \in k \mapsto \lambda\beta_1$. If $r \in R_n, l \in L_n$ and $\lambda \in k$ then let i_{n+1} send $\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ l & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ to the $\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1}, P_i$ endomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} m \\ p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} i_n(r)(m) \\ \Delta_n(l)(m) + \lambda p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\Delta_{n+1} : L_{n+1} \to K_{n+1}$ be defined by $(\lambda 1_{L_n}, l) \mapsto (\alpha_{n+1} \circ \Delta_n(l), \lambda\alpha_{n+1})$.

Proposition 4.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $i_n : R_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i)$ is an embedding of rings and Δ_n is an isomorphism of R_n-modules.*

Proof. That i_0 is an embedding is already covered in [Kra98b] and Δ_0 is defined to be an isomorphism.

Suppose that $i_n : R_n \to \mathrm{End}(\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i)$ is an embedding of rings and Δ_n is an isomorphism of R_n-modules.

A quick computation shows that i_{n+1} is an embedding of rings.

If $\Delta_{n+1}(v, l) = 0$ then $\alpha_{n+2} \circ \Delta_n(l) = 0$ and $v = 0$. Since α_{n+2} is injective, $\Delta_{n+1}(v, l) = 0$ implies $\Delta_n(l) = 0$. So Δ_{n+1} is injective. Since K_{n+1} and L_{n+1} are both finite-dimensional of the same dimension over k, Δ_{n+1} is an isomorphism.

□

Now that we have equipped $\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$ with the structure of a left R_n-module, we need to show that it is a projective left R_n-module.

We inductively define a a set of orthogonal idempotents for R_n. Let $c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R_1$ and $e_1^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R_1$. Let $c_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} c_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_i^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_i^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $e_{n+1}^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $c_n + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^n = 1$, $c_n e_i^n = e_i^n c_n = 0$, $e_i^n e_j^n = e_j^n e_i^n = 0$, $c_n c_n = c_n$ and $e_i^n e_i^n = e_i^n$.

So for each n, $e_1^n, \ldots, e_n^n, c_n$ are a set of orthogonal idempotents.

Proposition 4.3. *As a left R_n-module, $\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$ is isomorphic to*

$$(R_n c_n)^{d_0} \oplus (R_n e_1^n)^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus (R_n e_n^n)^{d_n}$$

where $d_0 = \dim M_1, d_1 = \dim P_1 - \dim M_1$ and for $i > 1$, $d_i = \dim P_i - \dim P_{i-1}$.

Before we prove this proposition, we describe how to understand left modules over (right) one-point extensions. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the category with objects (M, V, Υ) where M is a left R-module, V is a k-vector space and $\Upsilon : {}_kL \otimes M \to V$ is a k-linear map and morphisms $(f, g) : (M_1, V_1, \Upsilon_1) \to (M_2, V_2, \Upsilon_2)$ where $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a left R-module map and $g : V_1 \to V_2$ is k-linear and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \otimes M_1 & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_1} & V_1 \\ {\scriptstyle Id_L \otimes f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ L \otimes M_2 & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_2} & V_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. The category $\mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to the category of left modules over $\begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ L & k \end{pmatrix}$. This equivalence is given by the functors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ L & k \end{pmatrix}\text{–Mod} \to \mathcal{G} : M \mapsto (\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M, l \otimes m \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ l & 0 \end{pmatrix} m)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} \to \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ L & k \end{pmatrix} - \text{Mod} : (M, V, \Upsilon) \mapsto M \oplus V$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ l & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} rm \\ av + \Upsilon(l \otimes m) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $r \in R$, $l \in L$ and $a \in k$.

There are $n+1$ indecomposable projective over R_n each corresponding to one of the idempotents $c_n, e_1^n, \ldots, e_n^n$.

As objects in $\mathcal{G}$ they are described inductively: $R_{n+1}c_{n+1}$ corresponds to $(R_n c_n, L_n c_n, l \otimes r c_n \mapsto l r c_n)$, $R_{n+1}e_i^{n+1}$ corresponds to $(R_n e_i^n, L_n e_i^n, l \otimes r e_i^n \mapsto l r e_i^n)$ and $R_{n+1}e_{n+1}^{n+1}$ corresponds to $(0, k, 0)$.

proof of proposition 4.3. As always we prove the statement by induction on n. The base case just says that $\widehat{M}$ is isomorphic to V^{d_0} where $d_0 = \dim M_1$.

This has already be proved in [Kra98b, lemma 8.8]. Suppose the statement is true for n.

So there is an isomorphism $T_n : (R_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus (R_ne_1^n)^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus (R_ne_n^n)^{d_n} \to \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$ of left R_n-modules. Write $((c_n)^{d_0}, (e_1^n)^{d_1}, \ldots, (e_n^n)^{d_n})$ for the $\sum_{i=0}^n d_i$-tuple with first d_0 entries c_n, the next d_1 entries e_1^n and so on, with final d_n entries e_n^n.

Define $\Theta_n : [(L_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus \ldots \oplus (L_ne_n^n)^{d_n}] \oplus k^{d_{n+1}} \to P_{n+1}$ to be the map defined by

$$(\overline{l}, \overline{\lambda}) \mapsto \Delta_n(\overline{l})(T_n(c_n)^{d_0}, T_n(e_1^n)^{d_1}, \ldots, T_n(e_n^n)^{d_n})$$

for all $\overline{l} \in (L_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus \ldots \oplus (L_ne_n^n)^{d_n}$ and $\overline{\lambda} \in k^{d_{n+1}}$.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n \otimes [(R_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus (R_ne_1^n)^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus (R_ne_n^n)^{d_n}] & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_n} & [(L_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus \ldots \oplus (L_ne_n^n)^{d_n}] \oplus k^{d_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_n \otimes T_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_n} \\ K_n \otimes [\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i] & \xrightarrow{\Omega_n} & P_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commutes since for each idempotent $e \in \{c_n, e_1^n, \ldots, e_n^n\}$ and $r \in R_n$,

$$\Omega_n(\Delta_n(l) \otimes T_n(re)) = \Delta_n(l)[reT_n(e)] = \Delta_n(lre)[T_n(e)]$$

and

$$\Theta_n(\Upsilon_n(l \otimes re)) = \Theta_n(lre) = \Delta_n(lre)[T_n(e)].$$

The map given by the pair $(\Delta_n \otimes T_n, \Theta_n)$ is not an isomorphism. By induction, one can show that the image of the map which sends $\gamma \otimes m \in K_n \otimes (\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n)$ to $\gamma(m) \in P_{n+1}$ has dimension $\dim P_{n+1} - d_{n+1}$. The dimension of $(L_nc_n)^{d_0} \oplus (L_ne_1^n)^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus (L_ne_n^n)^{d_n}$ is $\sum_{i=0}^n d_i = \dim P_{n+1} - d_{n+1}$. Thus, since $\Delta_n \otimes T_n$ is an isomorphism, the kernel of Θ_n has the same dimension as the cokernel of Θ_n. Thus we can extend Θ_n to an isomorphism so that the diagram above still commutes. Thus $\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} P_i$ is isomorphic to $(R_{n+1}c_{n+1})^{d_0} \oplus (R_{n+1}e_1^{n+1})^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus (R_{n+1}e_{n+1}^{n+1})^{d_{n+1}}$.

□

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k and $(\mathcal{T}, (P^i, \alpha_i)_{i=1}^n)$ be a generalised ray tube in mod$-\mathcal{A}$. The functor*

$$- \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P^i : \text{Mod} - R_n \to \text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}$$

is such that all indecomposable pure-injectives in the closure of the generalised ray tube are direct summands of modules in the image of $- \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P^i$.

Proof. Since $\widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P^i$ is projective and finitely presented as a left $k[[x]]$-module, it is enough to show, as in 3.2, that all finite-dimensional modules in the generalised ray tube, are direct summands of modules in the image

of $- \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i$. Since $- \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i$ is exact, it commutes with pushouts and pullbacks. Thus it is enough to note that $P^1, \ldots, P^n$ are direct summands of $R_n \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i = \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i$ and $F_0^n(k[[x]]/\langle x^i \rangle) \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i = M_i$. □

Let $((M_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}, (P^i, \alpha_i)_{i=1}^{n})$ be a generalised ray tube. Let $M[\infty] := F_0^n E(V/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i$ and $G := F_0^n Q(V) \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $P^i[\infty] = F_0^{n-i} F_1^i E(V/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i$. Since $- \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i$ commutes with direct limits, $P^i[\infty]$ is the direct limit of

$$P^i \hookrightarrow P^i_2 \hookrightarrow P^i_3 \hookrightarrow \ldots.$$

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{T} := ((M_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}, (P^i, \alpha^i)_{i=1}^{n})$ be a generalised ray tube. Suppose that N is an infinite-dimensional module in the Ziegler closure of $\mathcal{T}$.*

(1) N is a direct summand of $M[\infty]$, $P^1[\infty], \ldots, P^n[\infty]$, G or $\widehat{M}$.
(2) N has m-dimension ≤ 1
(3) $M[\infty]$ and $P^i[\infty]$ are Σ-pure-injective
(4) $\widehat{M}$ has acc on pp-definable subgroups
(5) A module in the Ziegler closure of $\widehat{M}$ is either a direct summand of G or $\widehat{M}$
(6) A module in the Ziegler closure of $P^i[\infty]$ (respectively $M[\infty]$) is either a direct summand of G or $P^i[\infty]$ (respectively $M[\infty]$).

Proof. (1) Follows from 4.4 and 3.3.

(2)Interpretation functors don't increase m-dimension plus 3.3.

(3) and (4) Interpretation functors preserve acc and dcc on pp-definable subgroups.

(5) and (6) It follows from 3.3 that $\{F_0^n Q, N\}$ is a closed subset for any infinite-dimensional indecomposable pure-injective module over R_n. Thus $\{M \in \mathrm{Zg}_{\mathcal{A}} |\ M|G \text{ or } M|N \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i\}$ is the closure of $N \otimes \widehat{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P^i$ for any infinite-dimensional indecomposable pure-injective N over R_n. □

5. Short embeddings

In this section we will introduce a special class of embeddings, and then use this notion to investigate the endomorphism rings of direct limits along rays in ray and coray tubes.

Definition 5.1. *Let M, N be finitely presented modules. We call an embedding $i : M \hookrightarrow N$ **short** if there exists a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\overline{a} \in M$, if φ generates the pp-type of $\overline{a}$ in M and ψ generates the pp-type of $i\overline{a}$ in N then the interval $[\psi, \varphi]$ is of length $\leq n$.*

It is tempting to believe that all irreducible embeddings are short. The following lemma and example shows that this is not the case.

Lemma 5.2. *For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_i, N_i \in mod-R$ be indecomposable, $g_i : M_i \to N_i$, $f_i : M_i \to M_{i+1}$ and $h_i : N_i \to N_{i+1}$.*

If

$$0 \longrightarrow M_i \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g_i \\ f_i \end{pmatrix}} N_i \oplus M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} h_i & g_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}} N_{i+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

are almost split exact then g_i is not short for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that g_1 is not short. Let $\overline{a}$ generate M_1, φ_n generate the pp-type of $f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\overline{a})$ and ψ_n generate the pp-type of $h_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_1 \circ g_1(\overline{a})$. Then, since $\overline{a}$ generates M_1, by [Pre09, Lemma 1.2.28], $\psi_n = \psi_1 \wedge \varphi_n$. Thus $(\varphi_{n+1} + \psi_1) \wedge \varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1} + \psi_n$. Since

$$0 \longrightarrow M_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g_n \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}} N_n \oplus M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} h_n & g_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}} N_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact and $g_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\overline{a}) = h_n \circ \cdots \circ h_1 \circ g_1(\overline{a})$, $\varphi_n > \varphi_{n+1} + \psi_n$. So

$$(\varphi_n + \psi_1) \wedge \varphi_n = \varphi_n > \varphi_{n+1} + \psi_n = \varphi_{n+1} + \psi_1 \wedge \varphi_n = (\varphi_{n+1} + \psi_1) \wedge \varphi_n.$$

Thus $(\varphi_n + \psi_1) \wedge \varphi_n > (\varphi_{n+1} + \psi_1) \wedge \varphi_n$. Hence $\varphi_n + \psi_1 > \varphi_{n+1} + \psi_1$. So

$$\varphi_1 + \psi_1 > \varphi_2 + \psi_1 > \varphi_3 + \psi_1 \dots$$

is a strictly decreasing sequence of pp-formulas in the interval $[\psi_1, \varphi_1]$. □

Example 5.3. *Consider the path algebra of the quiver* $1 \overset{\alpha}{\underset{\beta}{\rightrightarrows}} 2$.

The above lemma shows that both irreducible embeddings f, g of the projective $P(2)$ at vertex 2 into the projective $P(1)$ at vertex 1 are not short.

The pp-type of any non-zero element m in $P(2)$ is generated by $e_2|x$. The pp-type of $f(m)$ is generated by $\exists y\ x = y\alpha$ and the pp-type of $g(m)$ is generated by $\exists y\ x = y\beta$. We have the following infinite strictly descending chain of pp-1-formulas between $e_2|x$ and $\exists\ yx = y\beta$.

$$e_2|x > \exists y\ x = y\alpha + \exists y\ x = y\beta > \exists y_1, y_2\ x = y_1\alpha \wedge y_1\beta = y_2\alpha + \exists y\ x = y\beta$$

$$> \exists y_1, y_2, y_3\ x = y_1\alpha \wedge y_1\beta = y_2\alpha \wedge y_2\beta = y_3\alpha + \exists y\ x = y\beta > \dots$$

Remark 5.4. *If $f : M \to N$ is a short embedding and $f = gh$ then h is a short embedding.*

Lemma 5.5. *An embedding $f : M \hookrightarrow N$ is a short embedding if and only if the cokernel, $F \in (mod-R, Ab)^{fp}$, of*

$$(N, -) \xrightarrow{(f,-)} (M, -) \to F \to 0$$

is finite-length.

Proof. Suppose that f is a short embedding. Let $\overline{a}$ generate M and $\overline{b} := f(\overline{a})$. Let φ generate the pp-type of $\overline{a}$ in M and ψ generate the pp-type of $\overline{b}$ in N. Then, by [Pre09, 1.2.18] and [Pre09, proof of 10.2.30], $F \cong F_{\varphi/\psi}$, which is finite-length since f is short.

Conversely, suppose that F is finite-length. Let $\overline{a} \in M$ and $\overline{b} := f(\overline{a})$. Let φ generate the pp-type of $\overline{a}$ in M and ψ generate the pp-type of $\overline{b}$ in N.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(N/\langle\overline{b}\rangle,-) & \xrightarrow{(f',-)} & (M/\langle\overline{a}\rangle,-) & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
(N,-) & \xrightarrow{(f,-)} & (M,-) & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
F_\psi & \longrightarrow & F_\varphi & \longrightarrow & F_{\varphi/\psi} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

So $F_{\varphi/\psi}$ is an epimorphic image of F and hence finite-length. Thus $[\psi, \varphi]$ is finite-length.

□

Remark 5.6. *An embedding $f : M \to N$ is short if the morphism $(f,-) \in (mod - R, Ab)^{fp}$ is an epimorphism after localising at the serre subcategory of finite-length objects.*

Remark 5.7. *Let $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$ be almost split exact. After localising at the Serre subcategory of finite-length objects, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow (C,-) \xrightarrow{(g,-)} (B,-) \xrightarrow{(f,-)} (A,-) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence.

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose that $i : A \to B_1$ is an embedding, $\mu : B_2 \to C$ is a short embedding (of length n) and*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} B_1 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \mu \end{pmatrix}} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact. Then i is short (of length $\leq n+1$) .

Proof. Since

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} B_1 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \mu \end{pmatrix}} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact, the cokernel $F \in (\mathrm{mod} - R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}$ of

$$(B_1 \oplus B_2, -) \xrightarrow{(\begin{pmatrix} i \\ \pi \end{pmatrix},-)} (A,-) \to F \to 0$$

is simple. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the serre subcategory of $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}$ generated by the simple functors.

Thus, since serre localisation is exact and the contravariant Yoneda embedding of $\mathrm{mod}-R$ into $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}$ is left-exact,

$$0 \longrightarrow (C,-) \xrightarrow{((\ \gamma \quad \mu\ ),-)} B_1 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{(\begin{pmatrix} i \\ \pi \end{pmatrix},-)} (A,-) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$. Thus the following diagram is both a pushout and a pullback in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C,-) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma,-)} & (B_1,-) \\ {\scriptstyle (\mu,-)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i,-)} \\ (B_2,-) & \xrightarrow{(\pi,-)} & (A,-) \end{array}$$

Since $\mu : B_2 \to C$ is a short embedding, $(\mu,-)$ is an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$. Thus $(i,-)$ is also an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$ and hence i is a short embedding.

□

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that $L_1, \ldots, L_{n+1}$ and $M_1, \ldots, M_{n+1}$ are indecomposable finite-dimensional modules and that for $1 \leq j \leq n$*

$$0 \longrightarrow M_j \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i_j \\ \pi_j \end{pmatrix}} M_{j+1} \oplus L_j \xrightarrow{(\ \pi_{j+1} \quad \mu_j\ )} L_{j+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost spilt exact.

If $\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1$ is short then $i_n \circ \ldots \circ i_1$ is short.

Proof. We need to show that if $(\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1, -)$ is an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$ then $(i_n \circ \ldots \circ i_1, -)$ is an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$. Since each sequence in the lemma is almost split exact,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (L_{j+1},-) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{j+1},-)} & (M_{j+1},-) \\ {\scriptstyle (\mu_j,-)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i_j,-)} \\ (L_j,-) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_j,-)} & (M_j,-) \end{array}$$

is a pushout and a pullback square in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Putting these pushout and pullback squares along side each other, we get that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (L_{n+1},-) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{n+1},-)} & (M_{n+1},-) \\ {\scriptstyle (\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1,-)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i_n \circ \ldots \circ i_1,-)} \\ (L_1,-) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_1,-)} & (M_1,-) \end{array}$$

is a pushout and a pullback square in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$. Thus if $(\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1, -)$ is an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$ then $(i_n \circ \ldots \circ i_1, -)$ is an epimorphism in $(\mathrm{mod}-R, \mathrm{Ab})^{\mathrm{fp}}/\mathcal{S}_0$. □

For an artin algebra R and $A, B \in \mathrm{mod}-R$, the **radical** of $\mathrm{mod}-R$ is the two-sided ideal defined by

$$\mathrm{rad}(A,B) := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,B) \mid 1_A - g \circ f \text{ is an isomorphism for any } g \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,A)\}.$$

For any natural number $n > 1$, $\mathrm{rad}^n(A,B)$ is the set of sums of morphisms $g \circ h$ where $C \in \mathrm{mod}-R$, $g \in \mathrm{rad}(C,B)$ and $h \in \mathrm{rad}^{n-1}(A,C)$.

The ω-radical of $\mathrm{mod}-R$ is the two-sided ideal defined by

$$\mathrm{rad}^\omega(A,B) := \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{rad}^i(A,B).$$

We will show that short embeddings are not in the ω-radical.

Lemma 5.10. *Let R be an artin algebra, $A, B \in mod-R$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $f \in \mathrm{rad}(A,B)$
(2) For all non-zero $a \in A$, if φ generates the pp-type of a non-zero element $a \in A$ and ψ generates the pp-type of $f(a)$ in B then $\psi < \varphi$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Suppose that $f \in \mathrm{rad}(A,B)$ and $a \in A$ is non-zero. Suppose for a contradiction that $\varphi = \psi$. Let $A' \oplus H(a) = A$ where $H(a)$ is the pure-injective hull of a in A, see [Pre09, pg 153]. Since $f \in \mathrm{rad}(A,B)$, $f' = f|_{H(a)} \in \mathrm{rad}(H(a),B)$. By [Pre09, 4.3.42], since $\mathrm{pp}^{H(a)}(a) = \mathrm{pp}^B(f'(a))$, f' is a pure-embedding. Since $H(a)$ is pure-injective, f' is split. Thus $f' \notin \mathrm{rad}(A,B)$.

(2)⇒(1) Suppose that $f \notin \mathrm{rad}(A,B)$. Then there exists A' a direct summand of A such that A' is indecomposable and $f|_{A'} = f' : A' \to B$ is not in $\mathrm{rad}(A',B)$. Let $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,A')$. Take $a \in A'$ such that $gf'(a) \neq 0$ if such an element exists. The pp-type of $(1_{A'} - g \circ f')(a)$ is equal to the pp-type of a since f' strictly increases the pp-types of a. Since A' is indecomposable, this implies, by [Pre09, 4.3.45], that $1_{A'} - g \circ f'$ is an isomorphism. Thus $f' \in \mathrm{rad}(A',B)$. □

The following corollary implies that short-embeddings are not in the ω-radical.

Corollary 5.11. *Suppose $X, Y \in mod-R$ and $f : X \to Y \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(X,Y)$. If $a \in X$ is non-zero, φ generates the pp-type of a in X and ψ generates the pp-type of $f(a)$ in Y then either $f(a) = 0$ or $[\psi, \varphi]$ is infinite-length.*

Lemma 5.12. *Let A, B be indecomposable and $f \in \mathrm{rad}(A,B) \backslash \mathrm{rad}^\omega(A,B)$. Then $f = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_m + v$ where each u_i is either zero or a sum of compositions of i irreducible maps between indecomposable modules and $v \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(A,B)$.*

Proof. Let A, B be indecomposable and $f \in \mathrm{rad}(A,B)\backslash\mathrm{rad}^{\omega}(A,B)$. Since $f \notin \mathrm{rad}^{\omega}(A,B)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in \mathrm{rad}^{n}(A,B)\backslash\mathrm{rad}^{n+1}(A,B)$. By [ARS97, Proposition 7.4 (ii)], there exists $u, v \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ such that $f = u + v$, u is non-zero and a sum of compositions of n irreducible maps between indecomposable modules and $v \in \mathrm{rad}^{n+1}(A,B)$.

By iterating this process we get $f = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_{m-1} + v$ where each u_i is either zero or a sum of compositions of i irreducible maps between indecomposable modules and $v \in \mathrm{rad}^{m}(A,B)$. By [ARS97, Lemma 7.2] there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{rad}^{\omega}(A,B) = \mathrm{rad}^{m}(A,B)$.

□

In the proof of the next two statements, we will freely use the following construction. Let M be a direct limit of finite-dimensional modules $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ along a chain of monomorphisms $\mu_i : M_i \to M_{i+1}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_i : M_i \to M$ be the canonical embedding of M_i into M. For each $i < j$, let $\gamma_{i,j} := \mu_{j-1} \ldots \mu_{i+1}\mu_i$.

Any sequence of morphisms $g_i : M_i \to M_{n_i}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\mu_i} & M_{i+1} \\ {\scriptstyle g_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{i+1}} \\ M_{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n_i,n_{i+1}}} & M_{n_{i+1}} \end{array}$$

commutes gives rise to an endomorphism g of M by setting $g(a) = u_i g_i(a)$ whenever $a \in M_i$.

Further, given an endomorphism $g : M \to M$, let M_{n_i} be such that $\mathrm{im}g|_{M_i} \subseteq M_{n_i}$, $n_i < n_{i+1}$ and $g_i : M_i \to \mathrm{im}g|_{M_i} \hookrightarrow M_{n_i}$ the homomorphism induced by g. The sequence of morphisms g_i is such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\mu_i} & M_{i+1} \\ {\scriptstyle g_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{i+1}} \\ M_{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n_i,n_{i+1}}} & M_{n_{i+1}} \end{array}$$

commutes and induces g on M.

Proposition 5.13. *Suppose that*

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\mu_2} M_3 \xrightarrow{\mu_3} \ldots$$

is a ray of monomorphisms. For all $k \leq n$, let $\gamma_{k,n} = \mu_k \circ \mu_{k+1} \circ \ldots \circ \mu_{n-1}$. Suppose that for each $j \geq i > 1$, every composition of irreducible morphisms from $f : M_i \to M_k$ factors as $f = \gamma_{i,j} \circ g$ where g is a composition of irreducible maps from M_i to itself. Further suppose that all μ_i are short monomorphisms. Then $M := \bigcup_i M_i$ is indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose that $e \in \mathrm{End}(M)$ is a non-zero idempotent. Take $a \in \ker(e-1)$. There exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \in M_i$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $e(M_i) \subseteq M_k$ and $k > i$. Let $f : M_i \to M_k$ be the map induced by e. So $f(a) = \gamma_{i,k}(a)$. Since $\gamma_{i,k}$ is a short embedding, by 5.11, $f \notin \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_k)$.

We now show that f is an embedding. By 5.12, $f = \alpha\gamma_{i,k} + \gamma_{i,k}g_1 + \ldots \gamma_{i,k}g_n + v$ where $\alpha \in k$, $v \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are compositions of irreducible maps from M_i to M_i.

Suppose for a contradiction that $\alpha = 0$. By definition of a,

$$(\gamma_{i,k}g_1 + \ldots \gamma_{i,k}g_n + v)(a) = \gamma_{i,k}(a).$$

So

$$\gamma_{i,k}(-1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n)(a) + v(a) = 0.$$

Since $g_1 + \ldots + g_n$ is a non-isomorphism, $-1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n$ is an isomorphism. So, the pp-type of $(-1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n)(a)$ is the same as that of a. Since $\gamma_{i,k}$ is short and $v \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_k)$, the pp-type of $\gamma_{i,k}(-1_{M_i} + \alpha_1 g_1 + \ldots \alpha_n g_n)(a)$ is not equal to the pp-type of $-v(a)$. Thus we have our contradiction and $\alpha \neq 0$.

Suppose that $b \in M_i$ and $f(b) = 0$. Let φ generate the pp-type of b in M_i. The pp-type of $(\alpha 1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n)(b)$ is equal to the pp-type of b. Thus $[\varphi, \psi_1]$ is finite-length where ψ_1 generates the pp-type of $\gamma_{i,k}(\alpha 1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n)(b)$ in M_k. Since $v \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega$, either $v(b) = 0$ or $[\varphi, \psi_2]$ is of infinite-length where ψ_2 generates the pp-type of $-v(b)$ in M_k. But $f(b) = 0$ implies that the pp-type of $\gamma_{i,k}(\alpha 1_{M_i} + g_1 + \ldots + g_n)(b)$ in M_k is equal to the pp-type of $-v(b)$ in M_k. Thus f is an embedding.

Thus, for all $j \geq i$, the map $f_j : M_j \to M_{n_j}$ induced by e is an embedding. Hence e is an embedding. Thus M is indecomposable.

□

Remark 5.14. *This is enough to show that a direct limit along a ray in an $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$ Auslander-Reiten component is indecomposable.*

Proposition 5.15. *Suppose that*

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\mu_2} M_3 \xrightarrow{\mu_3} \ldots$$

is a ray of monomorphisms. For all $k \leq n$, let $\gamma_{k,n} = \mu_k \circ \mu_{k+1} \circ \ldots \circ \mu_{n-1}$. Suppose that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a nilpotent endomorphism $\varphi_i : M_i \to M_i$ such that $\mu_i\varphi_i = \varphi_{i+1}\mu_i$ and for each $j \geq i > 1$, every non-zero composition of irreducible morphisms from $f : M_i \to M_k$ factors as $f = \gamma_{i,j} \circ (\varphi_i)^p$. Further, suppose that each μ_i is a short embedding.

Then the canonical embedding of k into $\mathrm{End}(\bigcup_i M_i)/\mathrm{radEnd}(\bigcup_i M_i)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Any homomorphism $f : M_i \to M_j$ is of the form

$$\sum_{p=0}^{l} \alpha_p \gamma_{i,j}(\varphi_i)^p + \delta$$

where $\alpha_p \in k$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_j)$.

Suppose for a contradiction that f is a short embedding and $\alpha_0 = 0$. Let $m \in \ker \varphi_i$. Then $f(m) = \delta(m)$. Let φ generate the pp-type of m in M_i and ψ generate the pp-type of $\delta(m)$ in M_j. Since $\delta \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_j)$ either $\delta(m) = 0$ or $[\psi, \varphi]$ is infinite-length. In either case this contradicts the fact that f is a short embedding. Thus all short embeddings $f : M_i \to M_j$ are of the form

$$\sum_{p=0}^{l} \alpha_p \gamma_{i,j}(\varphi_i)^p + \delta$$

where $\alpha_p \in k$, $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_j)$.

We now show that if $g : \bigcup_i M_i \to \bigcup_i M_i$ is an isomorphism then the induced map $g_i : M_i \to M_{n_i}$ is a short embedding. Take $a \in M_i$ and let ψ generate the pp-type of $g_i(a)$ in M_{n_i} and φ_j generate the pp-type of $\gamma_{i,j}(a)$ in M_j for all $j \geq i$. So ψ is in the pp-type of $g(a)$ in $\bigcup_i M_i$ and since g is an isomorphism, ψ is in the pp-type of a in $\bigcup_i M_i$. Thus ψ is in the pp-type of a in M_j for for some $j \geq i$ and thus $\psi \geq \varphi_j$ for some $j \geq i$. Since each μ_i is short, $[\varphi_j, \varphi_i]$ is finite-length and thus so is $[\psi, \varphi_i]$. Thus g_i is short.

Combining the previous two paragraphs, we can see that the sum of two non-isomorphisms of $\bigcup_i M_i$ is a non-isomorphism. Thus $\mathrm{End}(\bigcup_i M_i)$ is local.

Finally, suppose that we have a sequence of morphism $g_i : M_i \to M_{n_i}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\mu_i} & M_{i+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{i+1}} \\ M_{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n_i,n_{i+1}}} & M_{n_{i+1}} \end{array},$$

$$g_i := \sum_{p=0}^{l_i} \alpha_p^i \gamma_{i,n_i}(\varphi_i)^p + \delta_i$$

and let $g : \bigcup_i M_i \to \bigcup_i M_i$ be the morphism induced by the g_is.

Then

$$\alpha_0^i \gamma_{i,n_{i+1}} - \alpha_0^{i+1} \gamma_{i,n_{i+1}} =$$

$$(\sum_{p=1}^{l_i} \alpha_p^i \gamma_{i+1,n_{i+1}}(\varphi_{i+1})^p + \delta_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1,n_{i+1}} - \gamma_{n_i,n_j}(\sum_{p=1}^{l_i} \alpha_p^i \gamma_{i,n_i}(\varphi_i)^p + \delta_i).$$

Take $a \in \ker \varphi_i$. Then

$$(\alpha_0^i - \alpha_0^{i+1})\gamma_{i,n_{i+1}}(a) = \delta_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1,n_{i+1}}(a) - \gamma_{n_i,n_j}\delta_i(a)$$

since $\mu_i \varphi_i = \varphi_{i+1}\mu_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $\gamma_{i,n_{i+1}}$ is a short embedding and $\delta_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1,n_{i+1}} - \gamma_{n_i,n_j}\delta_i \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega(M_i, M_{n_{i+1}})$, so $\alpha_0^i - \alpha_0^{i+1} = 0$. Let $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus $g = \alpha_0 \mathrm{Id} + h$ where $h : \bigcup_i M_i \to \bigcup_i M_i$ is the morphism induced by the sequence $h_i : M_i \to M_{n_i}$

$$h_i := \sum_{p=1}^{l_i} \alpha_p^i \gamma_{i,n_i}(\varphi_i)^p + \delta_i.$$

Thus the canonical embedding of k into $\mathrm{End}(\bigcup_i M_i)/\mathrm{radEnd}(\bigcup_i M_i)$ is an isomorphism.

□

6. Ray and coray tubes for finite-dimensional algebras

In this section we will describe the short embeddings in ray and coray tubes. We will then use 5.15 to show that the direct limit along a ray in either a ray or coray tube is indecomposable and that the canonical embedding of k into its endomorphism ring factored out by the radical is an isomorphism.

We give a slightly different definition of a ray tube to that given in the literature (for instance in [DR84] or [Liu96]) but it is equivalent.

For each tuple of integers $(m; n_0, \ldots, n_{m-1})$ let $Q(m; n_0, \ldots, n_{m-1})$ be the translation quiver with points $S_i^k[j]$ where $0 \leq i < m$, $0 \leq k \leq n_i$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The index i will always be read **mod** m. The arrows in $Q(m; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ are

$$\mu_i^k[j] : S_i^k[j] \to S_i^k[j+1],$$
$$\lambda_i^{n_i}[j+1] : S_i^{n_i}[j+1] \to S_{i+1}^0[j]$$

and, when $n_i > k \geq 0$

$$\lambda_i^k[j] : S_i^k[j] \to S_i^{k+1}[j].$$

Thus for $k \neq n_i$ we have

$$\lambda_i^k[j+1] \circ \mu_i^k[j] = \mu_i^{k+1}[j] \circ \lambda_i^k[j],$$

for $k = n_i$ and $j \neq 1$ we have

$$\lambda_i^{n_i}[j+1] \circ \mu_i^{n_i}[j] = \mu_{i+1}^0[j] \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[j+2]$$

and finally for $k = n_i$ and $j = 1$ we have

$$\lambda_i^{n_i}[2] \circ \mu_i^{n_i}[1] = 0.$$

We refer to these relations as mesh relations. We call such a translation quiver a **ray tube**. We call the dual translation quiver a **coray tube**.

We now list some definitions and elementary facts following from the mesh relations.

(1) Any non-zero composition of irreducible morphisms can be written as a sequence of $\mu_i^k[j]$ followed by a sequence of $\lambda_i^k[j]$ and also as a sequence of $\lambda_i^k[j]$ followed by a sequence of $\mu_i^k[j]$.
(2) If $l > j$ let $\mu_i^k[j \to l] : S_i^k[j] \to S_i^k[l]$ be

$$\mu_i^k[l-1] \circ \cdots \circ \mu_i^k[j].$$

(3) Let $\lambda_i^k[j+m \to j] : S_i^k[j+m] \to S_i^k[j]$ be

$$(\lambda_{i+m}^{k-1}[j] \circ \cdots \circ \lambda_{i+m}^0[j]) \circ \cdots\cdots \circ (\lambda_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}}[j+(m-1)] \circ \cdots$$

$$\cdots \circ \lambda_{i+1}^0[j+(m-1)]) \circ (\lambda_i^{n_i}[j+m] \circ \cdots \circ \lambda_i^{k+1}[j+m] \circ \lambda_i^k[j+m]).$$

If $\gamma > 1$ let

$$\lambda_i^k[j+\gamma m \to j] := \lambda_i^k[j+\gamma m \to j+(\gamma-1)m] \circ \cdots \circ \lambda_i^k[j+m \to j].$$

Note that the relations imply that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mu_i^k[j \to j+m] \circ \lambda_i^k[j+m \to j] = \lambda_i^k[j+2m] \circ \mu_i^k[j+m \to j+2m]$$

(4) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi_i^k[j+m] := \mu_i^k[j \to j+m] \circ \lambda_i^k[j+m \to j].$$

Note that the mesh relations imply that

$$(\varphi_i^k[j+m])^n := \mu_i^k[j \to j+nm] \circ \lambda_i^k[j+nm \to j]$$

(5) Every non-zero composition of irreducible morphisms $S_i^k[j] \to S_i^k[l]$ where $l \geq j$ is of the form

$$\alpha \mu_i^k[j \to l] \varphi_i^k[j]^p$$

where $j > pm$ and $\alpha \in k$.

(6) Every non-zero composition of irreducible morphisms $S_i^k[1+(\gamma+1)m] \to S_i^k[1+\gamma m]$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is of the form

$$\alpha \varphi_i^k[1+\gamma m]^p \lambda_i^k[1+(\gamma+1)m \to 1+\gamma m]$$

where $p \leq \gamma+1$ and $\alpha \in k$.

Lemma 6.1. *The morphisms $\mu_i^k[j]$ are short embeddings*

Proof. For any $0 \leq i < m$, $\mu_i^{n_i}[1]$ is a short embedding since

$$0 \longrightarrow S_i^{n_i}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu_i^{n_i}[1]} S_i^{n_i}[2] \xrightarrow{\lambda_i^{n_i}[2]} S_{i+1}^0[1] \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact.

Since

$$0 \longrightarrow S_i^k[j] \xrightarrow{\binom{\mu_i^k[j]}{\lambda_i^k[j]}} S_i^k[j+1] \oplus S_i^k[j] \xrightarrow{(\lambda_i^k[j+1] \ \mu_i^{k+1}[j])} S_i^{k+1}[j+1] \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact, repeated applications of lemma 5.8 implies that if $\mu_i^{n_i}[j]$ is a short embedding then $\mu_i^k[j]$ is a short embedding for all $0 \leq k \leq n_i$.

Since

$$0 \longrightarrow S_i^{n_i}[j+1] \xrightarrow{\binom{\mu_i^{n_i}[j+1]}{\lambda_i^{n_i}[j+1]}} S_i^{n_i}[j+2] \oplus S_{i+1}^0[j] \xrightarrow{(\lambda_i^{n_i}[j+2] \ \mu_{i+1}^0[j])} S_{i+1}^0[j+1] \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split exact, lemma 5.8 implies that if $\mu_{i+1}^0[j]$ is a short embedding then $\mu_i^{n_i}[j+1]$ is a short embedding.

Thus by induction, $\mu_i^k[j]$ is a short embedding for all $0 \leq i < m$, $0 \leq k \leq n_i$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 6.2. *Any direct limit $S_i^k[\infty]$ along the sequence $(\mu_i^k[j])_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is indecomposable and the canonical embedding of k into* $\mathrm{End}(S_i^k[\infty])/\mathrm{radEnd}(S_i^k[\infty])$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from 5.15 plus 6.1 plus point 5 from page 26. □

Lemma 6.3. *For all j, k and i, the morphism $\lambda_i^k[j \to j-m]^*$ is short embeddings.*

Proof. The relations show that $\lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^*$ is a short embedding for all i.

Suppose that $\lambda_i^k[2]^* \dots \lambda_i^{n_i-1}[2]^*\lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^*$ is a short embedding. Since $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i^{k-1}[2]^* \\ \mu_i^k[1]^* \end{pmatrix}$ is short, $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i^{k-1}[2]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^* \\ \mu_i^k[1]^* \circ \lambda_i^k[2]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^* \end{pmatrix}$ is short. Since $\mu_i^k[1]^* \circ \lambda_i^k[2]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[2] = 0$, $\lambda_i^{k-1}[2]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^*$ is a short embedding. Thus, by induction, $\lambda_i^0[2]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[2]^*$ is a short embedding.

Now applying 5.9, we get that $\lambda_i^0[j]^* \circ \dots \circ \lambda_i^{n_i}[j]^*$ is a short embedding for all $j \geq 2$.

Thus since a composition of short embedding is a short embedding, $\lambda_i^k[j \to j-m]^*$ is short for all $j > m$.

□

Proposition 6.4. *The direct limit $(S_i^k)^*[\infty]$ along a sequence of $(\lambda_i^k[1+mj \to 1+m(j-1)]^*)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is indecomposable and* $\mathrm{End}((S_i^k)^*[\infty])/\mathrm{radEnd}((S_i^k)^*[\infty]) = k$.

Proof. This follows from 5.15 plus 6.3 plus point 6 from page 26. □

7. Elementary Socles and indecomposable k-duals

Definition 7.1. [Her93, 10.2] *Let U be a Σ-pure-injective module. Define $elsoc^0(U) = 0$. For each ordinal α, let*

$$elsoc^{\alpha+1}(U) := \Sigma\{\varphi(U) \mid \varphi(U) \nsubseteq elsoc^\alpha(U) \text{ and } \varphi(U) \text{ is minimal such } \} + elsoc^{\,\alpha}(U).$$

For limit ordinals, λ, let

$$elsoc^{\,\lambda}(U) := \bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda} elsoc^\lambda(U).$$

The elementary socle length of a Σ-pure-injective modules U is the least ordinal α such that $elsoc^\alpha(U) = U$.

Definition 7.2. *Let N be an indecomposable pure-injective right module over an arbitrary ring R. Let S be the (necessarily) local endomorphism ring of N and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be its maximal ideal. The local dual of N is defined to be the left module* $\mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ *where $E(S/\mathfrak{m})$ is the injective hull of $S/\mathfrak{m}$ as an S-module.*

Theorem 7.3. [Her13] *Let N be an indecomposable Σ-pure-injective module over an arbitrary ring R. The local dual of N is indecomposable.*

The key idea for the proof of the following proposition was explained to me by Ivo Herzog.

Proposition 7.4. *Let R be a k-algebra. Let N be an indecomposable Σ-pure-injective R-module whose (local) endomorphism ring S with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is such that the canonical embedding from k into $S/\mathfrak{m}$ is an isomorphism. The local dual of N is isomorphic to the k-dual of N and is thus indecomposable.*

Proof. Since R is a k-algebra, S is also a k-algebra. Let $\mu : E(S/\mathfrak{m}) \to S/\mathfrak{m} = k$ be a k-linear map with $S/\mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq \ker \mu$. The map from $\mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(N, k)$ given by $f \mapsto \overline{f} := \mu \circ f$ is a left R-module embedding. It is an embedding since $S/\mathfrak{m}$ is essential in $E(S/\mathfrak{m})$ and hence for any $0 \neq f \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathrm{im} f \cap S/\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$.

We now prove the following two statements:

(1) Let α be an ordinal. If $\nu \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(N, k)$ and $\nu|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)} = 0$ then there exists $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ such that $(\nu - \overline{f})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)} = 0$.
(2) Let λ be a limit ordinal. If for all $\alpha < \lambda$ there exists $g_\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ such that $(\nu - \overline{g_\alpha})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)} = 0$ and $(\overline{g_\alpha} - \overline{g_\beta})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)} = 0$ for $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$ then there exists $g_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ such that $(\nu - \overline{g_\lambda})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\lambda(N)} = 0$.

1. Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(N, k)$ be such that $\nu|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)} = 0$. Then $\ker \nu \cap \mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)$ is an S-submodule of $\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)$ and ν induces an S-module homomorphism $\nu|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)}$ from $\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)/\ker \nu \cap \mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)$ to $k = S/\mathfrak{m}$. Post-composing $\nu|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)}$ with the embedding of $S/\mathfrak{m}$ into $E(S/\mathfrak{m})$ we get a morphism $\lambda : \mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)/\ker \nu \cap \mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N) \to E(S/\mathfrak{m})$. Since $E(S/\mathfrak{m})$ is injective, λ extends to a map from $N/\ker \nu \cap \mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N) \to E(S/\mathfrak{m})$. Pre-composing this map with the projection of N onto $N/\ker \nu \cap \mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N) \to E(S/\mathfrak{m})$, we get an S-homomorphism f from N to $E(S/\mathfrak{m})$ such that $(\nu - \overline{f})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^{\alpha+1}(N)} = 0$.

2. For each $\alpha < \lambda$, let $\{\varphi_i^\alpha \mid i \in I_\alpha\}$ be the set of pp-1-formulas such that $\varphi_i^\alpha(N) \subseteq \mathrm{elsoc}^\alpha(N)$. All finite subsets of the system $\varphi_i^\alpha(x - g_\alpha)$ where $\alpha < \lambda$ and $i \in I_\alpha$ have a solution in $\mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$, namely $x = g_\beta$ where β is the maximal ordinal occurring as a superscript of one of the φ_i^αs of the finite system. So, since $\mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ is pure-injective, there exists a $g_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ such that $\varphi_i^\alpha(g_\lambda - g_\alpha)$ holds for all $\alpha < \lambda$ and $i \in I_\alpha$. Thus $(\nu - \overline{g_\lambda})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\lambda(N)} = 0$.

Now to complete the proof, ordinal induction using 1. and 2. gives us that for every $\nu \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(N, k)$ there exists $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, E(S/\mathfrak{m}))$ such that $(\nu - \overline{f})|_{\mathrm{elsoc}^\lambda(N)} = 0$ where λ is the elementary socle length of N. Thus $\nu - \overline{f} = 0$ on all of N. Thus the local dual is isomorphic to the k-dual. □

8. Ray tubes from generalised ray tubes

Given a ray tube with translation quiver $Q(m; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ we describe a generalised ray tube.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_j := S_0^0[j] \oplus \ldots S_m^0[j]$, let $\psi_j : M_j \to M_{j+1}$ be given by the matrix with $\mu_i^0[j]$ in the $i+1$st diagonal entry and zeros everywhere else and let φ_j be the matrix with compositions of $\lambda_i^{n_i}[j] \circ \ldots \circ \lambda_i^0[j]$ in the $(i+2, i+1)$ entry for $0 \leq i < m$ and $\lambda_m^{n_i}[j] \circ \ldots \circ \lambda_m^0[j]$ in the (m, m) entry, and zeros in all other entries.

For $1 \leq l \leq \max n_i = n$, let $P^l = S_0^l[1] \oplus \ldots \oplus S_m^l[1]$ where $S_i^l[1]$ is taken to be zero if $l > n_i$ and let α^l be the diagonal embedding with entries $\lambda_i^l[1]$.

A module over a finite-dimensional algebra is **generic** if it is indecomposable and if its pp-1-lattice is finite-length (equivalently if it is indecomposable and finite-length over its endomorphism ring).

Theorem 8.1. *The Ziegler closure of an Auslander-Reiten component C over a finite-dimensional k-algebra with Auslander-Reiten quiver $Q(m; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ consists of*

1. *the finite-dimensional indecomposable modules in C*
2. *For each $0 \leq i < m$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i$, an indecomposable Σ-pure-injective modules $S_i^k[\infty] := \varinjlim S_i^k[j]$*
3. *For each $0 \leq i < m$, an indecomposable pure-injective $\widehat{S_i^0} := \varprojlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)]$ which has acc on pp-definable subgroups*
4. *finitely many generic modules $G_1, \ldots, G_d$.*

Proof. Above we have shown how to construct a generalised ray tube from a ray tube. Thus all modules in the Ziegler closure of C are either finite-dimensional or direct summands of $\varinjlim M_j$, $\varinjlim_j P_j^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\widehat{M}$ or G.

By construction $\varinjlim M_j = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \varinjlim S_i^0[j]$ and $\varinjlim_j P_j^k = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \varinjlim S_i^k[j]$. By 6.2, $\varinjlim S_i^k[j]$ is indecomposable for $0 \leq i < m$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i$. By 4.5, $\varinjlim M_j$ and $\varinjlim_j P_j^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ are Σ-pure-injective, thus $\varinjlim S_i^k[j]$ is Σ-pure-injective for $0 \leq i < m$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i$.

By construction $\widehat{M} := \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \varprojlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)] = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} (\varinjlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)])^*$ and $\widehat{M}$ has acc on pp-definable subgroups. Thus each $\varinjlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)]$ has dcc on pp-definable subgroups and hence is pure-injective. By 6.4, $\varinjlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)]$ is indecomposable. So, by 7.4, $\varprojlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)] = (\varinjlim S_i^0[1 + m(j-1)])^*$ is indecomposable.

Since G is finite-endolength, $G = G_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_d$ where each G_i is indecomposable and finite-endolength. □

In the following corollary we are less explicit in our description of the modules in the closure of a coray tube. This is because to do so would require the introduction of yet more notation.

Corollary 8.2. *The Ziegler closure of an Auslander-Reiten component C over a finite-dimensional k-algebra with Auslander-Reiten quiver $Q^*(m; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ consists of*

(1) the finite-dimensional indecomposable modules in C
(2) a direct limit along each ray
(3) an inverse limit along each coray
(4) finitely many generic modules $G_1, \ldots, G_d$.

Proof. Since the Ziegler closure of a ray tube has m-dimension 2 and hence the Ziegler closure of a coray tube also has m-dimension 2, all points in the Ziegler closure of C are reflexive in the sense of Herzog [Her93] (See also [Pre09, page 271]). Thus we know that there is a bijective correspondence $N \mapsto DN$ between points in the Ziegler closure of C and points in the Ziegler closure of its k-dual such that $N \in (\varphi/\psi)$ if and only if $DN \in (D\psi/D\varphi)$. If the k-dual of an indecomposable pure-injective N is indecomposable then $DN = N^*$ by 1.3. On finite-dimensional points this bijection is just taking the k-dual.

The inverse limit along a coray is indecomposable and pure-injective by 7.4. The dual of the direct limit along a ray is indecomposable and has acc on pp-definable subgroups. Thus the direct limit along a ray is indecomposable and Σ-pure-injective.

Thus all modules on our list are indecomposable and pure-injective.

For any generic module G, DG is also a generic module since its pp-1-lattice must be finite-length.

Comparing this list of modules with the list for the closure of a ray tube and taking k-duals of the appropriate modules, implies that the above list is complete. □

We now end the article with some open questions and directions for future research.

Question 1. *Does every stable/ray/coray tube have a unique generic module in its closure?*

The above question is open even for homogeneous tubes. There is an attempt at an example of a tube with more that one generic over a non-finite-dimensional algebra in [Pre09, 15.1.11] but this example doesn't seem to be a tube.

Question 2. *Do there exist finite-dimensional algebras A and B with Auslander-Reiten components C and D such that C is isomorphic to D as a translation quiver but the Ziegler closure of C is not homeomorphic to the Ziegler closure of D? More generally, can two finite-dimensional algebras have isomorphic auslander-reiten quivers but non-homeomorphic Ziegler spectra?*

Question 3. *Is the m-dimension of the closure of an Auslander-Reiten component of finite-dimensional algebra always finite?*

References

[ARS97] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.

[Bor94] Francis Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2*, volume 51 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[DR84] Gabriella D'Este and Claus Michael Ringel. Coherent tubes. *J. Algebra*, 87(1):150–201, 1984.

[Her93] Ivo Herzog. Elementary duality of modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 340(1):37–69, 1993.

[Her13] Ivo Herzog. Ziegler's indecomposability criterion. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 56(3):564–569, 2013.

[Kra98a] Henning Krause. Exactly definable categories. *J. Algebra*, 201(2):456–492, 1998.

[Kra98b] Henning Krause. Generic modules over Artin algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 76(2):276–306, 1998.

[Liu96] Shiping Liu. Shapes of connected components of the Auslander-Reiten quivers of Artin algebras. In *Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994)*, volume 19 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 109–137. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[Pre88] Mike Prest. *Model theory and modules*, volume 130 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[Pre97] Mike Prest. Interpreting modules in modules. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 88(2-3):193–215, 1997. Joint AILA-KGS Model Theory Meeting (Florence, 1995).

[Pre09] Mike Prest. *Purity, spectra and localisation*, volume 121 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[Pre11] Mike Prest. Definable additive categories: purity and model theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 210(987):vi+109, 2011.

[Pre12] M. Prest. Abelian categories and definable additive categories. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2012, 1202.0426.

[Zie84] Martin Ziegler. Model theory of modules. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 26(2):149–213, 1984.

University of Camerino, School of Science and Technologies, Division of Mathematics, Via Madonna delle Carceri 9, 62032 Camerino, Italy

E-mail address: lorna.gregory@gmail.com